### STATE SELPA IEP TEMPLATE SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITY

#### TEAM DETERMINATION OF ELIGIBILITY- DISCREPANCY -

Student Name	Date of Birth/	IEP Date/
School		☐ Initial Evaluation
		3-Year Re-evaluation
using language, spoken or written, that n or do mathematical calculations, includin dyslexia, and developmental aphasia. Th	order in one or more of the basic psychological pro nay have manifested itself in the imperfect ability ng conditions such as perceptual disabilities, brain ne basic psychological processes included attentio ssing, and cognitive abilities including association,	o to listen, think, speak, read, write, spell, n injury, minimal brain dysfunction, on, visual processing, auditory processing,
Section I. Instructions: Select Option A,	B, or C below.	
	severe discrepancy exists takes into account all re scores, test or procedure shall be used as the sole l education.	
<ul> <li>A. The IEP team finds a seventests.</li> </ul>	ere discrepancy between intellectual ability and ac	chievement based on valid standardized
B. The IEP team finds a seve	ere discrepancy based on alternative measures as	specified on the assessment plan.
	ere discrepancy between intellectual ability and a chological processes. (Complete and attach the Sp	
Area/s in which the pupil meets crite	eria under Option A, B, or C:	
Oral Expression Basic Reading Skills Mathematical Reasoning	Listening Comprehension Reading Comprehension	Written Expression Mathematical Calculation
Section II. The discrepancy identified al	bove is directly related to a processing disorder:	Yes No
	enitive Abilities (including association, conceptualismsory Motor Skills Visual Proceditory Processing Attention onological Processing	
disabilities, of intellectual disability, items below (A-H) are checked "Yes' A. Visual, hearing, or motor disab B. Intellectual disability C. Emotional disturbance D. Cultural factors E. Environmental or economic dis F. Limited English proficiency G. Limited school experience or p H. Lack of appropriate instruction a. The IEP team considered of provided appropriate instruction b. The IEP team considered of	sadvantage poor school attendance	ultural, or economic disability. If any of the earning disability.  Yes No
Section IV. Additional Relevant Information		
Basis for determination of eligibility:  Psychoeducational Evaluation u	: utilizing multiple measures (see attached psychoe	ducational report).
Revised 12/2015	Form 11A	

# STATE SELPA IEP TEMPLATE SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITY TEAM DETERMINATION OF ELIGIBILITY- DISCREPANCY

ection V. Relevant behavior related to academic functioning, noted during observation:				
ection VI. Educationally relevant medica	al findings, if any:			
ection VII. Conclusion: The pupil has a specific learning disa	bility.		Yes No	
The pupil has a specific learning disa The degree of the pupil's impairmer agree with the conclusions stated above:			Yes No	
The pupil has a specific learning disa The degree of the pupil's impairmen agree with the conclusions stated above: arent/Guardian/Surrogate/Adult	nt requires special  Date	Parent/Guardian/Surrogate/Adult  General Education Teacher	Yes No	
The pupil has a specific learning disa The degree of the pupil's impairment agree with the conclusions stated above: arent/Guardian/Surrogate/Adult EA Representative/Admin. Designee	nt requires special	Parent/Guardian/Surrogate/Adult	Yes No	
The pupil has a specific learning disa The degree of the pupil's impairment agree with the conclusions stated above: arent/Guardian/Surrogate/Adult EA Representative/Admin. Designee pecial Education Specialist	Date Date	Parent/Guardian/Surrogate/Adult General Education Teacher	Yes No Date	
The pupil has a specific learning disa The degree of the pupil's impairmer	Date Date Date	Parent/Guardian/Surrogate/Adult General Education Teacher Additional Participant/Title	Date Date Date	

# STATE SELPA IEP TEMPLATE SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITY DISCREPANCY DOCUMENTATION REPORT (INDIVIDUALIZED EDUCATION PROGRAM TEAM CERTIFICATION)

Stud	ent N	lame	Date of Birth/	IEP Date/
docu	ıment	t the presence of a S	d attached to the IEP Team Certification identification of specific Learning Disability in instances when the studit at as measured by standardized test.	
State	emen	t of the area, the degre	ee, and the basis and method used in determining the d	iscrepancy:
1.	Dat		struments (ability and achievement):	
	_			
2.	Info	ormation provided by t	the parent:	
3.	Info		the pupil's present teacher:	
4.	Sur a.		assroom performance:	
	b.			
	c.			
5.	Coi	nsideration of the pup	il's age:	
	-			
	-			
6.	Ad	ditional Relevant Infor	mation:	
	A <del>NION I</del>	The same of the sa		

# STATE SELPA IEP TEMPLATE SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITY TEAM DETERMINATION OF ELIGIBILITY

#### **Response to Intervention (RTI)**

Student N	ame	Date of Birth/_	J	IEP Date/
School				Initial Evaluation
				3-Year Re-evaluation
using lang or do mat dyslexia, a	uage, spoken or written, that me hematical calculations, includin and developmental aphasia. Th	nay have manifested itself in the i g conditions such as perceptual d	mperfect ability to li lisabilities, brain inju ncluded attention, vis	sual processing, auditory processing,
Section I.	Instructions: Select Option A a	nd B below.		
		adequately for the pupil's age or ences and instruction appropriate		
	B. The pupil <u>does not make su</u> response to <u>scientific, researc</u>	the state of the s	tate-approved grade	e-level standards based on the pupil's
J'S'E	n/s in which the pupil meets crit Oral Expression Basic Reading Skills Mathematics Calculation	teria under Section A or B:  Listening Comprehension  Reading Fluency Skills  Mathematics Problem Solv	☐ Re	ritten Expression eading Comprehension
Section II.	The discrepancy identified ab	ove is directly related to a proce	ssing disorder.	Yes No
Checl	☐ Sen	nitive Abilities, (including associat isory Motor Skills ditory Processing onological Processing	tion, conceptualization Visual Processir Attention	FOR CONTROL OF THE CO
disal the i A. B. C. D. E. F. G.	bilities, of intellectual disability, items below (A-H) are checked Visual, hearing, or motor disability Emotional disturbance Cultural factors Environmental or economic disLimited English proficiency Limited school experience or plack of appropriate instruction a. The IEP team considered d provided appropriate instruction b. The IEP team considered d reflecting formal assessment	of emotional disturbance, or of e "Yes", the student may not be ide ility sadvantage oor school attendance in reading or math lata that demonstrate that prior t fuction in regular education settin lata-based documentation of repe ent of student progress during inst	environmental, cultuentified as having a le entified as having a le o, or as a part of, the egs, delivered by qual eated assessments o	Yes No Are referral process, the pupil was lified personnel; and f achievement at reasonable intervals,
Basi	<ol> <li>Additional Relevant Informat s for determination of eligibility Psychoeducational Evaluation u Other (specify)</li> </ol>		tached psychoeduca	itional report).
		academic functioning, noted duri	ng observation:	
(Record - Western )				

# STATE SELPA IEP TEMPLATE SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITY TEAM DETERMINATION OF ELIGIBILITY

## Response to Intervention (RTI)

Section VII. Conclusion:  The pupil has a specific learning disa  The degree of the pupil's impairmen		education.	Yes No
agree with the conclusions stated above:			
Parent/Guardian/Surrogate/Adult	Date	Parent/Guardian/Surrogate/Adult	Date
EA Representative/Admin. Designee	Date	General Education Teacher	Date
Special Education Specialist	Date	Additional Participant/Title	Date
Additional Participant/Title	Date	Additional Participant/Title	Date
Additional Participant/Title	Date	Additional Participant/Title	Date
Additional Participant/Title	Date	Additional Participant/Title	Date

# STATE SELPA IEP TEMPLATE SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITY

#### TEAM DETERMINATION OF ELIGIBILITY- PATTERNS OF STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES

Student Name	Date of Birth		IEP Date/
School			Initial Evaluation
			3-Year Re-evaluation
A specific learning disability means a disc using language, spoken or written, that n or do mathematical calculations, includir dyslexia, and developmental aphasia. Th sensory-motor skills, phonological proces	nay have manifested itself in th ng conditions such as perceptua ne basic psychological processes	e imperfect ability to lis al disabilities, brain injur s included attention, vis	sten, think, speak, read, write, spell, ry, minimal brain dysfunction, sual processing, auditory processing,
Section I.			
	f strengths and weaknesses in p ds, or intellectual development		ent, or both, relative to age, state-
Area/s in which the pupil meets cri Oral Expression Basic Reading Skills Mathematics Calculation	Listening Composite Compos	/ Skills	Written Expression Reading Comprehension
Section II. The specific learning disability	y identified above is directly re	elated to a processing o	lisorder. Yes No
<b>'</b> ≱⊠ Au	nitive Abilities (including associ nsory Motor Skills ditory Processing nological Processing	iation, conceptualization  Visual Processin  Attention	
items below (A-H) are checked "Yes A. Visual, hearing, or motor disak B. Intellectual disability C. Emotional disturbance D. Cultural factors E. Environmental or economic di F. Limited English proficiency G. Limited school experience or p H. Lack of appropriate instruction a. The IEP team considered of provided appropriate inst	of emotional disturbance, or	f environmental, cultura cified as having a learning or to, or as a part of, the ctings, delivered by qual epeated assessments of	al, or economic disability. If any of the ng disability.  Yes No Are referral process, the pupil was lified personnel; and f achievement at reasonable intervals,
Basis for determination of eligibility Psychoeducational Evaluation Other (specify)	:		tional report).
Section V. Relevant behavior related to	academic functioning, noted d	uring observation:	

# STATE SELPA IEP TEMPLATE SPECIFIC LEARNING DISABILITY

#### 

Section VII. Conclusion: The pupil has a specific learning disa	hility		☐ Yes ☐ No	
The degree of the pupil's impairment requires special education.			Yes No	
agree with the conclusions stated above:				
Parent/Guardian/Surrogate/Adult	Date	Parent/Guardian/Surrogate/Adult	Date	
are no odardian rodi rogater Addit	Date	i alcile odalidiali/odi logato/Addit	Date	
EA Representative/Admin. Designee	Date	General Education Teacher	Date	
Special Education Specialist	Date	Additional Participant/Title	Date	
Additional Participant/Title	Date	Additional Participant/Title	Date	
Additional Participant/Title	Date	Additional Participant/Title	Date	